22 April 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligen	MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Director	of	Central	Intelligen	ce
--	------------	------	----------	----	---------	------------	----

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM : SA/DCI/IA

SUBJECT: SIG(I) Meeting on Counterintelligence, 23 April 1982

1. The SIG(I) meeting on counterintelligence is scheduled for 2:00 tomorrow.

has provided the attached materials. Included are talking points for your use at the meeting. They address the procedures, scope, and proposed schedule for the Study. Included also are the original and revised study outlines and the original and proposed new study schedule.

cc: D/ICS

25X1

Executive Director (w/o att.)

25X1

# SENIOR INTERAGENCY GROUP, INTELLIGENCE

### 23 April 1982

#### TALKING POINTS

## 1. Purpose of Meeting:

To initiate action on the National Security Study Directive (NSSD 2/82) on "Detecting and Countering the Foreign Intelligence Threat to the United States."

#### 2. Procedures:

The SIG-I will act as the Steering Group for the conduct of the study. The study itself will be accomplished by a Working Group made up of representatives from the SIG-I membership under the chairmanship of the Intelligence Community Staff.

I have employed \_\_\_\_\_\_ a senior retired FBI counterintelligence officer, to be the Study Director. He will supervise the development of study contributions made by the staffs of participating agencies and departments, and he will be responsible for keeping to the study schedule.

An agreed outline for the Study was disseminated to each of you prior to this meeting. At a preliminary meeting of the Working Group on Wednesday, there were some minor revisions made to this outline, and the revised version as agreed to then is being provided to each of you today.

## 3. Scope of Study:

You will note that the agreed outline is structured somewhat differently than the Study Directive.

Our <u>primary purpose</u> is to analyze and report on the capabilities of the United States to identify and counter the total hostile intelligence threat. I expect the study will detail the

- capabilities that now exist,
- deficiencies in our capabilities and related needed improvements, and
- resources required to improve our countermeasure capabilities from now to 1990.

25X1

This Study is intended to be used as a basis for program and budget development commencing with FY 1984. To be effective for this purpose, it must be completed and approved not later than 2 July 1982.

Other subjects identified in the Study Directive which are not reflected in the Study outline will be dealt with at a later date, probably in one or more follow-on studies to be done after completion of the capabilities phase.

# 4. Schedule of the Study:

The Working Group has developed detailed schedules for Study accomplishment based on:

- completion by 15 June 1982 as stipulated in the Study Directive;
  and
- completion by 2 July 1982, an extension of two weeks but still in sufficient time to impact the program and budget development.

I recommend the two weeks additional time to permit a better quality product, and request Judge Clark to authorize this extension after the completion date. (If this is agreed, the second schedule sheet will be used.)

### 5. Threat Assessment:

As each of you is aware, my Community Counterintelligence Staff completed and disseminated in March 1982 the "Hostile Intelligence Services Multidisciplinary Threat and U.S. Countermeasures Assessment."

This assessment included as a separate document a series of  $\underline{\text{Recom-}}$  mendations for improving U.S. countermeasures.

When Judge Clark distributed the Assessment and the Recommendations to the National Security Council and other officials (under his letter of 26 March 1982), he called for preparation of plans for implementation of the recommendations as a part of FY 1984 budget development.

The Assessment serves as a current statement of the threat from which the Working Group can draw to establish the threat on which this Study will be based.

Additionally, the Recommendations and Judge Clark's directive for implementing them can appropriately be incorporated into the Study to be prepared.